arXiv:1601.02285v1 [math.PR] 11 Jan 2016

# BISMUT'S GRADIENT FORMULA FOR VECTOR BUNDLES

ELTON P. HSU AND ZHENAN WANG

ABSTRACT. We prove a general Bismut's formula for the gradient of a class of smooth Wiener functionals over vector bundles of a compact Riemannian manifold. This general formula can be used repeatedly for obtaining probabilistic representation of higher order covariant derivatives of solutions of the heat equation similar to the classical Bismut's representation for the covariant gradient of the heat kernel.

(preliminary version)

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Notations and the statement of the problem 3
3. Commutation of the gradient and the heat semigroup 5
4. Integration by parts 7
5. Second commutation and dénouement 10
References 11

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $M$ be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n$ and $\mathbb{P}_x$ the law of Brownian motion on $M$ starting from $x$. The now classical Bismut's formula ([1]) is a probabilistic representation of the gradient of the heat semigroup

$$P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}_x f(X_t) = \int_M p(t,x,y) f(y)\, dy,$$

where $p(t,x,y)$ is the transition density function of the Brownian $X$ on $M$ (the heat kernel). Several interesting objects are involved in this formula. On the orthonormal frame bundle $\mathscr{O}(M)$ of $M$ the scalarized Ricci curvature tensor is realized as an $O(n)$-invariant, $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{R}^n)$-valued function $\mathrm{Ric} : u \mapsto \mathrm{Ric}_u \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $\{U_t\}$ be a horizontal lift of the Brownian

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary-60D58; secondary-28D05.

*Key words and phrases.* Bismut's formula, Brownian motion, Riemannian manifold, vector bundle.

The research of the first author was supported in part by a Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant for Mathematicians and a research grant administered by the Chinese University of Science and Technology.

motion $X = \{X_t\}$ to the frame bundle $\mathscr{O}(M)$. The first object of interest is the multiplicative Feynman-Kac functional $M = \{M_t\}$ defined by

$$dM_t + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Ric}_{U_t} M_t = 0, \quad M_0 = I.$$

The second object of interest is the so-called stochastic anti-development $W = \{W_t\}$ of the Brownian motion $M$. It is the euclidean Brownian motion which drives the stochastic differential equation for the horizontal Brownian motion $U$, namely,

$$dU_t = \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_i(U_t) \circ dW_t^i,$$

where $\{H_i\}$ are the canonical horizontal vector fields on $\mathscr{O}(M)$. The classical Bismut's formula is given by

$$T\nabla \mathbb{E}_x f(X_T) = \mathbb{E}_x \left[ f(X_T) \int_0^T M_s \, dW_s \right].$$

Since $u(t,x) = \mathbb{E}_x f(X_t)$ is the solution of the heat equation on $M$ with the initial function $u(0,x) = f(x)$, the above identity gives a probabilistic representation of the covariant gradient of the solution. This representation is equivalent to the following probabilistic representation of the gradient of the heat kernel:

$$T\nabla_x \log p(t,x,y) = \mathbb{E}_{x,y;T} \left[ \int_0^t M_s \, dW_s \right],$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{x,y;T}$ is the expectation with respect to the law $\mathbb{P}_{x,y;T}$ of a Brownian bridge from $x$ to $y$ in time $T$. It can be regarded as a form of integration by parts formula because of the absence of the gradient operator on the right side; see Hsu [2] for a detailed exposition for this formula.

Ever since its appearance 30 years ago, this explicit representation has found applications in many areas. Besides its obvious significance in stochastic analysis on manifolds, it has also played important roles in certain problems in financial mathematics involving computations of option greeks. One naturally considers possible generalizations of this beautiful formula in several directions. Two possible directions come to mind immediately: higher order derivatives and functions in more general spaces. It is an important observation due to Norris [5] that these two possible generalizations can be combined into one framework, in which the function $f$ is replaced by a smooth map on a vector bundle and the process $X$ is lifted to the vector bundle by introducing, in addition to a compatible connection on the vector bundle, also a vertical motion driven by $X$ itself. In this way, the formula for higher derivative can simply be obtained by from the general formula by a judicious choice of the bundle map and the vertical motion.

Bismut [1] derived his formula by a perturbation method in path space, which was very much in line with the techniques available at the time when

Malliavin calculus was studied intensively. This method was followed by and large by Norris [5] in his generalization of Bismut's formula in the setting we have just mentioned. The technical difficulties involved in this method is tremendous. In fact, up to now Norris' paper has not been fully digested by the probabilistic community. In view of the new ideas and technical tools introduced during the intervening decades (mainly gradient-heat semigroup commutation relations and Itô calculus for diffusion processes on manifolds) and importance of these results, it is highly appropriate that we revisit these results with the goal of finding a proof more in line with the current state of art of stochastic analysis on manifolds. In addition, in our recent investigation of functional inequalities in path and loop spaces of a Riemannian manifold, we feel the need to extend the framework adopted in Norris [5] by considering maps between two different vector bundles instead a self-map on a single vector bundle. Thus the purpose of this current work is to use Itô calculus to prove a probabilistic representation of the gradient of the solution of a class of heat equations between two vector bundles. The basic idea of the current approach is explained in Hsu [3] for the simplest case of a trivial vector bundle.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The origin of the current work can be traced back to the early collaboration of the first author with Professors Marc Arnaudon (Bordeaux), Zhongmin Qian (Oxford) and Anton Thalmaier (Luxembourg) for the purpose of finding an alternative approach to Norris [5]. Although this collaboration did not result in a joint publication, the discussion with these individuals helped clarify many confusions and misunderstandings concerning the problem. Their early contribution to the current project is hereby gratefully acknowledged. The authors would also like to express their gratitude to the School of Mathematics of the University of Science and Technology of China.The School provided financial support for the authors' visit in the summer of 2014, during which a portion of the present work was carried out.

## 2. NOTATIONS AND THE STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

We work on a Riemannian manifold $M$ of dimension $m$. Let $\tau_1 : E_1 \to M$ and $\pi_2 : E_2 \to M$ be two Riemannian vector bundles over $M$ of dimensions $m_1$ and $m_2$, respectively. Let $x = \{x_t,\, t \geq 0\}$ be a Riemannian Brownian motion on $M$ and $W = \{W_t,\, t \geq 0\}$ its anti-development, which is a standard Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}^m$ adapted to the filtration of $x$. The horizontal lift of $x$, i.e. the horizontal Brownian motion $U = \{U_t,\, t \geq 0\}$, is defined by

$$\partial U_t = H_i(U_t) \circ \partial W_t^i,$$

where $\{H_i\}$ are canonical horizontal vector fields on $\mathscr{F}(M)$. The (stochastic) parallel transport along the path $x[0,t]$ is $\tau_t = U_0 U_t^{-1}$.

Following Norris [5], we introduce a diffusion process on $E_1$ driven by $x$ (or equivalently, by $W$) in the following manner,

$$\partial y_t = v_0 \partial t + v_{1,i} \circ \partial W_t^i,$$

where $v_0, v_1$ are vector fields on $E_1$. This process is a lift of the Brownian motion on $M$, i.e., $\pi_1 y_t = x_t$. The precise interpretation of the equation for $y$ is

$$\partial \tau_t^{-1} y_t = \tau^{-1_t} v_0 \partial t + \tau_t^{-1} v_1 \circ \partial W_t.$$

Consider a smooth map between the two vector bundles $f : E_1 \to E_2$ which respects the fibres. Then $\tau_T^{-1} f(x_T, y_T)$ is an $E_{2,x}$-valued random variable and the expectation $\mathbb{E}_{x,y} \tau_T^{-1} f(x_T, y_T)$ is an element in $E_{2,x}$ which varies smoothly as a function of the initial point $(x_0, y_0) = (x, y)$. The focus of this paper is to give a probabilistic representation of the covariant gradient $\nabla \mathbb{E}_{x,y} \tau_T^{-1} f(x_T, y_T)$ (with respect to the $x$ variable).

For a section of a vector bundle, it will be much more convenient for our exposition if we deal with its scalarization, which is a euclidean space valued function on the frame bundle of that vector bundle. We introduce the frame bundle $\mathscr{F}(M) = \cup_{x \in M} U_x$, where

$$U = (U_0, U_1, U_2) = \begin{cases} U_0 : & \mathbb{R}^m \to T_x M \\ U_1 : & \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \to E_{1,x} \\ U_2 : & \mathbb{R}^{n_2} \to E_{2,x} \end{cases}.$$

Each $U_i$ is an isometry between its respective domain and target spaces. The scalarization of the bundle function $f : E_1 \to E_2$ is defined by

$$F : \mathscr{F}(M) \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$$

$$F(U, Y) := U_2^{-1} f(x, U_1 Y).$$

Let $Y = \{Y_t,\, t \geq 0\}$ be the scalarization of the process $y$. Then it is the solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$\partial Y_t = V_0(U_t, Y_t) \partial t + \sum_i V_{1,i}(U_t, Y_t) \circ \partial W_t^i,$$

where $V_0(U_t, Y_t) := U_{1,t}^{-1} v_0(x_t, U_{1,t} Y_t)$ and $V_{1,i}(U_t, Y_t) := U_{1,t}^{-1} v_{1,i}(x_t, U_{1,t} Y_t)$ are the scalarization of $v_0(x_t, y_t)$ and $v_{1,i}(x_t, y_t)$, respectively.

For ease of notation, we combine the two processes $U$ and $Y$ into a single process $Z_t = (U_t, Y_t)$. It is clear that

$$\partial Z_t = (0, V_0) \partial t + \sum_i (H_i, V_{1,i}) \circ \partial W_t^i.$$

To further simplify the notation, we will write $(H_i, 0)$, $(0, V_0)$, and $(0, V_{1,i})$ simly as $H_i$, $V_0$, and $V_{1,i}$, respectively. whenever there is not possibility of confusion. Then the generator of the diffusion process $Z_t$ is

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (H_i + V_{1,i})^2 + V_0.$$

And $F(z,t) = \mathbb{E}_z\{F(Z_t)\}$ is the solution to equation

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = LF.$$

The goal of this paper is to find a probabilistic representation of the gradient of the solution to this heat equation over the vector bundles. Our main object of interest $\nabla \mathbb{E}_{x,y}\tau_T^{-1} f(x_T, y_T)$, becomes $\nabla^H F(z,T)$. Here $\nabla^H$ is the horizontal gradient defined by

$$\nabla^H F = \sum_j (H_j F) e_j,$$

where $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{n_1}$ is the canonical orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}$.

## 3. Commutation of the gradient and the heat semigroup

The first step towards establishing the probabilistic representation of the gradient of a solution to the heat equation is to commute the gradient operator with the heat semigroup. In this way we pass the gradient operator under the expectation on the bundle map. This will be followed by an integration by parts argument to remove the gradient operator from the bundle map.

Consider the equation for $\nabla^H F$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \nabla^H F}{\partial t} &= \nabla^H LF \\ &= L\nabla^H F + [\nabla^H, L]F\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\sum_i H_i^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_i V_{1,i}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_i (H_i V_{1,i} + V_{1,i} H_i) + V_0.$$

By further expand the commutator bracket, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \nabla^H F}{\partial t} = L\nabla^H F - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Ric}\nabla^H F + (1) + (2) + (3) + (4) + (5)$$

where

(I)
$$\begin{aligned}(1) = &\sum_j \left( \sum_i -\Omega_{ji}^{(2)}(H_i F) + \sum_i (DH_i F)(\Omega_{ji}^{(1)} Y) \right) e_j \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_j \left( \sum_i -(H_i \Omega_{ji}^{(2)})F + \sum_i (DF)((H_i \Omega_{ji}^{(1)})Y) \right) e_j\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{(II)} \qquad (2) = \sum_j \left( \sum_i (D^2F)(H_jV_{1,i})(V_{1,i}) \right) e_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_j DF \left( \sum_i (DH_jV_{1,i})(V_{1,i}) + (DV_{1,i})(H_jV_{1,i}) \right) e_j$$

$$\text{(III)} \qquad (3) = \sum_j \left( \sum_i (DH_iF)(H_jV_{1,i}) \right) e_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_j DF \left( \sum_i H_iH_jV_{1,i} \right) e_j$$

$$\text{(IV)} \qquad (4) = \sum_j \left( \sum_i (D^2F)(V_{1,i})(\Omega^{(1)}_{ji}Y) \right) e_j + \sum_j \left( \sum_i -\Omega^{(2)}_{ji}(DF)(V_{1,i}) \right) e_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_j DF \left( \sum_i (DV_{1,i})(\Omega^{(1)}_{ji}Y) \right) e_j$$

$$\text{(V)} \qquad (5) = \sum_j (DF)(H_jV_0)e_j$$

In the above expansion, $-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Ric}\nabla^H F + (1)$ comes from $[\nabla^H, \sum H_i^2]$, (2) comes from $[\nabla^H, \sum V_i^2]$, then (3) + (4) comes from $[\nabla^H, \sum H_iV_{1,i} + V_{1,i}H_i]$ and (5) comes from $[\nabla^H, V_0]$. We also group (3) + (4) so that all terms in their( corresponding commutator with curvature go to (4) and the remaining terms go to (3). The reason for doing so is to emphasize the effect of the curvature of the bundle $E_1$ and $E_2$.

For clarification, we say a few words about the notations used here concerning the curvature tensors (see Kobayashi and Nomizu [4]). Let $H_i$ be the canonical horizontal vector fields of the product bundle $TM \oplus E_1 \oplus E_2$. Then the commutator $\Omega_{ij} = [H_j, H_i]$ is a vertical vector field on the same product bundle with three components, i.e.,

$$\Omega_{ij} = (\Omega^{(0)}_{ji}, \Omega^{(1)}_{ji}, \Omega^{(2)}_{ji}) \in \mathfrak{o}(m) \times \mathfrak{o}(n_1) \times \mathfrak{o}(n_2),$$

where $\Omega^{(l)}_{ji}$ are the (scalarized) curvature tensors for $l = 0$ (on the manifold $M$), 1 (for the vector bundle $E_1$), and 2 (for the vector bundle $E_2$).

In the above computation, we have also adopted the following conventions. If $Q$ is a vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}$, the notation $DQ$ denotes the row vector $(D_iQ)_{i=1}^{n_1}$; if $Q$ has also other coordinates, say it is a vector in $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$, then $DQ$ will just be 0 in $\mathbb{R}^m$ and $(D_iQ)_{i=1}^{n_1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}$. We use $(D^2Q)(\alpha)(\beta)$, where $Q$ is a map from $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ and $\alpha, \beta$ are vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}$, to denote $\sum_{i,j}(D_iD_jQ)\alpha_i\beta_j$.

We now want to apply Feynman-Kac technique to deal with $\mathrm{Ric}\nabla^H F$ as in Hsu [2]. Let $M_t$ be the solution of the matrix-valued differential equation

$$\partial M_t + \frac{1}{2} M_t \mathrm{Ric}_{U_t} \partial t = 0, M_0 = I.$$

Using Itô's formula, it is straightforward to verify that

$$\partial M_t \nabla^H F(Z_t, T-t) + M_t \Phi(Z_t, T-t)\partial t$$

is a martingale, where

$$\Phi = (1) + (2) + (3) + (4) + (5).$$

Taking the expectation with respect to $\mathbb{E}_z$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^H \mathbb{E}_z F(Z_T) =& \mathbb{E}_z M_t \nabla^H F(Z_t, T-t) \\ &+ \mathbb{E}_z \int_0^t M_s \Phi(Z_s, T-s)\partial s.\end{aligned}$$

Integrating over $t$ from 0 to $T$ and using integration by parts on the second term on the right side, we have

$$\begin{aligned}T\nabla^H \mathbb{E}_z F(Z_T) = & \mathbb{E}_z \int_0^T M_t \nabla^H F(Z_t, T-t)\partial t \\ &+ \mathbb{E}_z \int_0^T N_t \Phi(Z_t, T-t)\partial t.\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Here $N_t = (T-t)M_t$. With this last identity, we have accomplished the task we have set ourselves at the beginning of this section, namely, we have passed the gradient operator through the expectation to act on the bundle map $f$, here represented by its scalarization $F$. As we pointed out above, the next step is to remove the expectation by an integration by parts argument in the path space.

## 4. Integration by parts

The first term on the right side of (1) contains the gradient on $F$. From the heat equation $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = LF$ we have by Itô's formula,

$$\partial F(Z_t, T-t) = \sum_i (H_i + V_{1,i}) F \partial W_t^i.$$

For any $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_2\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_z \int_0^T \langle M_t \nabla^H F_r, v\rangle \partial t \\
&\qquad = \mathbb{E}_z \int_0^T \langle \nabla^H F_r, M_t^\dagger v\rangle \partial t \\
&\qquad = \mathbb{E}_z \int_0^T \langle \nabla^H F_r, \partial W_t\rangle \int_0^T \langle M_t^\dagger v, \partial W_t\rangle \\
&\qquad = \mathbb{E}_z \left( F_r(Z_T) - \mathbb{E}_z F_r(Z_T) - \int_0^T \sum_i V_{1,i} F_r \partial W_t^i \right) \int_0^T \langle v, M_t \partial W_t\rangle \\
&\qquad = \mathbb{E}_z \left( F_r(Z_T) \int_0^T \langle v, M_t \partial W_t\rangle \right) - \mathbb{E}_z \int_0^T \langle \sum_i V_{1,i} F_r e_i, M_t^\dagger v\rangle \partial t \\
&\qquad = \mathbb{E}_z \left( F_r(Z_T) \int_0^T \langle v, M_t \partial W_t\rangle \right) - \mathbb{E}_z \int_0^T \langle M_t \sum_i V_{1,i} F_r e_i, v\rangle \partial t
\end{aligned}$$

This can be written more compactly as

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) \qquad &\mathbb{E}_z \int_0^T M_t \nabla^H F \partial t \\
&\qquad = \mathbb{E}_z \left( F(Z_T) \int_0^T M_t \partial W_t \right) - \mathbb{E}_z \int_0^T M_t \sum_j V_{1,j} F e_j \partial t.
\end{aligned}$$

The left side above is just the first term on the right side of (1). Note that we have removed the gradient operator from $F$. The remaining differentiation on $F$ are in the fibre directions.

The dealings with the remaining terms in (1) and the second term on the right side of (2)

$$\mathbb{E}_z \int_0^T N_t \Phi(Z_t, T_t) - M_t \sum_j (DF)(V_{1,j}) e_j \partial t$$

are admittedly technical, but in the process we will single out the terms involving some vertical derivatives on which we can perform a second commutation operation.

We will adopt the following notations: $\bar{N}_t^j$ stands for the horizontal lift of $N_t e_j$ and $K_{t,i}^{j(l)}$ for the 2nd and 3rd coordinates of $[\bar{N}_t^j, H_i]$. Note that $N_t$ is symmetric, therefore in explicit components we have

$$N_t e_j = \sum_k N_{t,j}^k e_k, \qquad \bar{N}_t^j = \sum_k N_{t,k}^j H_k, \quad \text{and} \quad K_{t,i}^{j(l)} = \sum_k \Omega_{ki}^{(l)} N_{t,k}^j.$$

We h Recall that $\Phi = (1) + (2) + (3) + (4) + (5)$. We have

$$N_t \Phi(Z_t, T - t) - M_t \sum_j (DF)(V_{1,j}) e_j = -A_1 + A_2 + A_3$$

where

$$A_1 = \sum_j \sum_i \left( K_{t,i}^{j(2)}(H_i F) + \frac{1}{2}(H_i K_{t,i}^{j(2)})F + K_{t,i}^{j(2)}(DF)(V_{1,i}) \right) e_j$$

$$A_2 = \sum_j \sum_i \left( \left( DH_i F + (D^2 F)(V_{1,i}) \right) \left( \bar{N}_t^j V_{1,i} + K_{t,i}^{j(1)} Y_t \right) \right) e_j$$

$$A_3 = \sum_j DF \left( B_{t,j} + (\bar{N}_t^j V_0) - \sum_i V_{1,i} M_{t,i}^j \right) e_j$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_{t,j} = \sum_i \frac{1}{2} \Big( & (H_i K_{t,i}^{j(1)}) Y_t + (D\bar{N}_t^j V_{1,i})(V_{1,i}) \\ & + (DV_{1,i})(\bar{N}_t^j V_{1,i}) + (DV_{1,i})(K_{t,i}^{j(1)} Y_t) + H_i \bar{N}_t^j V_{1,i} \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Here as will be in the sequel, we have omitted the ubiquitous $(Z_t, T-t)$ for the ease of notation. The rule for regrouping the terms here may appear to be mysterious. Basically everything related to the curvature on $E_2$ is included in $A_1$, and the remaining terms follow the rule that the second order terms of $F$ go to $A_2$, and the first order terms go to $A_3$.

We consider the term $A_1$. From

$$\partial F = \sum_i (H_i F + (DF)(V_{1,i})) \partial W_t^i$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 \partial t &= \sum_j \left( \sum_i K_{t,i}^{j(2)} (H_i F + (DF)(V_{1,i})) \right) \partial t e_j \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \left( \sum_i (H_i K_{t,i}^{j(2)}) F \right) \partial t e_j \\ &= \sum_j \left( \sum_i K_{t,i}^{j(2)} \partial W_t^i \right) \cdot (\partial F)\, e_j \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \left( \sum_i (\partial K_{t,i}^{j(2)}) \cdot (\partial W_t^i) \right) F e_j \\ &= \sum_j \left( \partial G_t^{j(2)} \cdot \partial F \right) e_j + \sum_j (\partial G_t^{j(2)}) F e_j + \partial S_t \\ &= \sum_j \partial (G_t^{j(2)} F) e_j + \partial S_t' \end{aligned}$$

Here $G_t^{j(l)}$ are matrix valued process defined by by

$$G_t^{j(l)} = \int_0^t \sum_i K_{t,i}^{j(l)} \circ \partial W_t^i,$$

and $S_t$ and $S_t'$ are martingales. Integrating and taking the expectation we have

$$\mathbb{E}_z \int_0^T A_1 \partial t = \mathbb{E}_z \sum_j \left( G_T^{j(2)} F(Z_T) \right) e_j.$$

**Remark 4.1.** As we mentioned before this paragraph of calculation, the curvature on $E_2$ gives rise to a separate term in the formula, and this term turns out to only rely on the 0th order term of the terminal value of $F$.

## 5. Second commutation and dénouement

Before starting the calculations of $A_2, A_3$, it is perhaps helpful to point out that if the vector bundle $E_2$ flat, then $A_1$ vanishes, but $A_2$ and $A_3$ remain. We therefore anticipate terms involving the derivative $DF$. Every term in $A_2$ and $A_3$ includes the 0th or 1st order term of $DF$. Following Hsu [3], we carry out a second commutation computation. From the heat equation for $F$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial DF}{\partial t} &= LDF + [D, L]F \\ &= LDF + A_4 + A_5 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_4 = \sum_i \left( (D^2 F)(V_{1,i}) + DH_i F \right) (DV_{1,i}),$$

$$A_5 = DF \sum_i \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( (D^2 V_{1,i})(V_{1,i}) + (DV_{1,i})(DV_{1,i}) + DH_i V_{1,i} \right) + DV_0 \right).$$

Here $(D^2 P)Q$ stands for the row vector $(\sum_i P_{ij} Q_i)$. As a consequence we have

$$\partial(DF)(Z_t, T-t) = \sum_i \left( (D^2 F)(V_{1,i}) + DH_i F \right) \partial W_t^i - A_4 \partial t - A_5 \partial t$$

We now use a method similar to the one used in dealing with $A_1$ to absorb $A_4$ and $A_5$.

for this purpose we introduce the processes $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}$-valued processes $Y_0^j = 0$ called the derived processes in Norris [5]. They are determined by the stochastic differential equation

$$\partial Y_t^j = C_{2,i}^j \partial W_t^i + C_3^j \partial t + C_{4,i}^j \partial W_t^i + C_5^j \partial t,$$

where

$$C_{2,i}^j = \tilde{N}_t^j V_{1,i} + K_{t,i}^{j(1)} Y_t,$$

$$C_3^j = (\bar{N}_t^j V_0) - \sum_i V_{1,i} M_{t,i}^j + \sum_i \frac{1}{2}\Big( (H_i K_{t,i}^{j(1)}) Y_t + (D\bar{N}_t^j V_{1,i})(V_{1,i}) + (DV_{1,i})(\bar{N}_t^j V_{1,i} + K_{t,i}^{j(1)} Y_t) + H_i \bar{N}_t^j V_{1,i} \Big),$$

$$C_{4,i}^j = (DV_{1,i}) Y_t^j$$

$$C_5^j = \sum_i \left( \frac{1}{2}\left( (D^2 V_{1,i})(V_{1,i}) + (DV_{1,i})(DV_{1,i}) + DH_i V_{1,i} \right) + DV_0 \right) Y_t^j.$$

The choices of these coefficients are made so that $A_4$ and $A_5$ can be eliminated explicitly from the final representation. They have the effect that

$$\left( N_t \Phi(Z_t, T-t) - M_t \sum_j (DF)(V_{1,i}) e_j \right) \partial t = -\sum_j \partial \left( G_t^{j(2)} F(Z_t) \right) e_j + \sum_j \partial \left( DF(Z_t) Y_t^j \right) e_j + \partial S_{1,t}, \tag{3}$$

where $S_{1,t}$ is a martingale. In terms of Stratonovich integrals, the equation for the derived process can be written more compactly as

$$\partial Y_t^j = -\sum_i (M_{t,i}^j)(V_{1,i}) \partial t + (\partial G_t^{j(1)}) Y_t + (DV_0) Y_t^j \partial t + \bar{N}_t^j V_0 \partial t + \sum_i (DV_{1,i})(Y_t^j) \circ \partial W_t^i + \sum_i (\bar{N}_t^j V_{1,i}) \circ \partial W_t^i$$

Taking expectations in (3) and using (1) and (2) we finally obtain the desired probabilistic representation of the gradient for a solution of the heat equation in vector bundles.

**Theorem 5.1.** *We have*

$$T \nabla^H \mathbb{E}_z (F(Z_T)) = \mathbb{E}_z \left[ F(Z_T) \int_0^T M_t \partial W_t - G_T F(Z_T) + DF(Z_T) Y_T \right].$$

**Remark 5.2.** In the setting of Norris [5], his representation contains one extra term not present in our work. We have not worked through his work diligently to locate the source of this discrepancy.

## REFERENCES


[1] Bismut, J.-M., *Malliavin Calculus and Large Deviations*, Birkäuser (1984).

[2] Hsu, Elton, P., *Stochastic Analysis on Manifolds*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, volume 38, American Mathematical Society (2002).

[3] Hsu, Elton P., Heat equations on manifolds and Bismut's formula, *Stochastic Analysis and Partial Differential Equations*, edited by G.Q. Chen, Elton Hsu and Mark Pinsky, *Contemporary Mathematics*, Volume 429, American Mathematical Society, 121–130 (2007).

[4] Kobayash, S. and Nomizu, K., *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Volume 1, Interscience Publishers, New York (1963).
[5] Norris, J., Path integral formulae for heat kernels and their derivatives, *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, **94**, 524–541 (1993).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, EVANSTON, IL 60208 USA
*E-mail address*: ehsu@math.northwestern.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, EVANSTON, IL 60208 USA
*E-mail address*: zn_wang@math.northwestern.edu